

Phylogenetic Diversity of *Vibrio cholerae* Associated with Endemic Cholera in Mexico from 1991 to 2008

Seon Young Choi,^{a,b} Shah M. Rashed,^a Nur A. Hasan,^{b,c} Munirul Alam,^d Tarequl Islam,^d Abdus Sadique,^d Fatema-Tuz Johura,^d Mark Eppinger,^e Jacques Ravel,^f Anwar Huq,^{a,g} Alejandro Cravioto,^h Rita R. Colwell^{a,b,c,i}

Maryland Pathogen Research Institute, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA^a; CosmosID, Inc., Rockville, Maryland, USA^b; Center of Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, University of Maryland Institute of Advanced Computer Studies, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA^c; International Centre for Diarrhoeal Disease Research, Bangladesh (icddr), Dhaka, Bangladesh^d; Department of Biology and South Texas Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases (STCEID), University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA^e; Institute for Genome Sciences (IGS), University of Maryland, School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA^f; Maryland Institute of Applied Environmental Health, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA^g; Global Evaluative Sciences USA, Inc., Seattle, Washington, USA^h; Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USAⁱ

ABSTRACT An outbreak of cholera occurred in 1991 in Mexico, where it had not been reported for more than a century and is now endemic. *Vibrio cholerae* O1 prototype El Tor and classical strains coexist with altered El Tor strains (1991 to 1997). Nontoxicogenic (CTX⁻) *V. cholerae* El Tor dominated toxicogenic (CTX⁺) strains (2001 to 2003), but *V. cholerae* CTX⁺ variant El Tor was isolated during 2004 to 2008, outcompeting CTX⁻ *V. cholerae*. Genomes of six Mexican *V. cholerae* O1 strains isolated during 1991 to 2008 were sequenced and compared with both contemporary and archived strains of *V. cholerae*. Three were CTX⁺ El Tor, two were CTX⁻ El Tor, and the remaining strain was a CTX⁺ classical isolate. Whole-genome sequence analysis showed the six isolates belonged to five distinct phylogenetic clades. One CTX⁻ isolate is ancestral to the 6th and 7th pandemic CTX⁺ *V. cholerae* isolates. The other CTX⁻ isolate joined with CTX⁻ non-O1/O139 isolates from Haiti and seroconverted O1 isolates from Brazil and Amazonia. One CTX⁺ isolate was phylogenetically placed with the sixth pandemic classical clade and the *V. cholerae* O395 classical reference strain. Two CTX⁺ El Tor isolates possessing intact *Vibrio* seventh pandemic island II (VSP-II) are related to hybrid El Tor isolates from Mozambique and Bangladesh. The third CTX⁺ El Tor isolate contained West African-South American (WASA) recombination in VSP-II and showed relatedness to isolates from Peru and Brazil. Except for one isolate, all Mexican isolates lack SXT/R391 integrative conjugative elements (ICEs) and sensitivity to selected antibiotics, with one isolate resistant to streptomycin. No isolates were related to contemporary isolates from Asia, Africa, or Haiti, indicating phylogenetic diversity.

IMPORTANCE Sequencing of genomes of *V. cholerae* is critical if genetic changes occurring over time in the circulating population of an area of endemicity are to be understood. Although cholera outbreaks occurred rarely in Mexico prior to the 1990s, genetically diverse *V. cholerae* O1 strains were isolated between 1991 and 2008. Despite the lack of strong evidence, the notion that cholera was transmitted from Africa to Latin America has been proposed in the literature. In this study, we have applied whole-genome sequence analysis to a set of 124 *V. cholerae* strains, including six Mexican isolates, to determine their phylogenetic relationships. Phylogenetic analysis indicated the six *V. cholerae* O1 isolates belong to five phylogenetic clades: i.e., basal, nontoxicogenic, classical, El Tor, and hybrid El Tor. Thus, the results of phylogenetic analysis, coupled with CTX ϕ array and antibiotic susceptibility, do not support single-source transmission of cholera to Mexico from African countries. The association of indigenous populations of *V. cholerae* that has been observed in this study suggests it plays a significant role in the dynamics of cholera in Mexico.

Received 16 February 2016 Accepted 22 February 2016 Published 15 March 2016

Citation Choi SY, Rashed SM, Hasan NA, Alam M, Islam T, Sadique A, Johura F-T, Eppinger M, Ravel J, Huq A, Cravioto A, Colwell RR. 2016. Phylogenetic diversity of *Vibrio cholerae* associated with endemic cholera in Mexico from 1991 to 2008. mBio 7(2):e02160-15. doi:10.1128/mBio.02160-15.

Editor Patricia A. Rosa, NIAID, NIH

Copyright © 2016 Choi et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Rita R. Colwell, rcolwell@umiacs.umd.edu.

This article is a direct contribution from a Fellow of the American Academy of Microbiology.

Cholera, a deadly waterborne disease, is caused by *Vibrio cholerae* and continues to be a health hazard for millions around the world, particularly in developing countries. Of more than 200 “O” serogroups, only *V. cholerae* O1 and O139 have been associated with cholera epidemics. Serogroup O1 has been classified into two biotypes, classical (CL) and El Tor (ET), the latter linked to the ongoing 7th pandemic first reported in 1961 (1, 2). Al-

though cholera has been endemic in the Ganges Delta region of South Asia for centuries, several countries of sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America were severely affected during the 7th pandemic and subsequently are now considered areas of endemicity (1). That is, cholera appeared in Mexico in June 1991, after the Latin American epidemic had begun along the Peruvian coast in January 1991 (3). The disease soon broke out in neighboring countries

TABLE 1 Characteristics of *Vibrio cholerae* serogroup O1 strains analyzed in this study^a

Strain	Serotype	Biotype	Source	Yr of isolation	CTXΦ	Accession no.
CP1032	Ogawa	El Tor	Human	1991	+	ALDA00000000
95412	Inaba	Classical	Human	1997	+	APFM00000000
CP1033	Ogawa	El Tor	Human	2000	+	AJRL00000000
CP1037	Ogawa	El Tor	Environment	2003	–	ALDB00000000
CP1035	Ogawa	El Tor	Human	2004	–	AJRM00000000
CP1030	Inaba	El Tor	Environment	2008	+	ALCZ00000000

^a Mexico was the country of origin for all strains shown here.

by 1992, with the exceptions of Uruguay and French Guyana (4). In Mexico, a total of 43,536 cholera cases were reported between 1991 and 1996, with a substantial number of deaths (3). Epidemiological investigations confirmed the association of *V. cholerae* O1 biotype El Tor with the majority of those cholera cases, although the classical biotype was isolated from some cases in Mexico during subsequent years until 1997 (4–7).

It has long been established that *V. cholerae* O1 had caused seven pandemics since 1817, of which the 7th pandemic is the largest, considering its longevity and geographical distribution. *V. cholerae* El Tor replaced the classical biotype of the 6th pandemic and presumably earlier pandemics (1, 8). Variants of El Tor (hybrid El Tor and/or altered El Tor) possessing classical biotype-specific traits have been reported in Asia, Africa, and Latin America (5, 9, 10). Genetic changes (i.e., gain or loss of mobile genetic elements and genomic islands) occur in *V. cholerae* due to its genomic plasticity (11). An example is the emergence of *V. cholerae* O139 in late 1992 in India, which is a non-O1 serogroup that caused a massive outbreak in South Asia and beyond (12, 13). Since 2001, variants of El Tor have been associated with cholera epidemics globally, including the recent epidemic in Haiti and previously Zimbabwe (14–16). Although significant advances have been made in the understanding of the genetics, epidemiology, and ecology of *V. cholerae* over the past two decades, the lack of an extensive genomic database severely limits source attribution for some of the recent outbreaks.

The cholera epidemic in Latin America was hypothesized to have been imported from areas of endemicity since Latin America had not reported cholera for more than 100 years prior to 1991 (17). Three hypotheses have been offered: (i) international trade ships from Asia discharged the pathogen into Peruvian ports in ballast water (18), (ii) immigrants who came from Africa to Latin America in the 1970s brought the pathogen with them (6, 19), and (iii) environmental factors (e.g., El Niño) played a significant role (20, 21). Preliminary analysis using molecular typing indicated *V. cholerae* strains isolated in Latin America during the 1990s' epidemic were clonal and represented intrusion of the seventh pandemic El Tor strain into the Western hemisphere (unrelated to the U.S. Gulf Coast clone) (6). However, subsequent genomic analysis of 30 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) indicated close relatedness of the Latin American isolates from the 1990s to African strains isolated in the 1970s and 1990s (19). This finding was supported by a recent phylogenetic analysis showing isolates from the Latin American epidemic in the 1990s were related to a *V. cholerae* strain from Angola, the study that analyzed only seventh pandemic El Tor strains from the Latin American epidemic that carried the *ctxB3* genotype (*B3* allele) (8). However, *V. cholerae* altered El Tor has been found to coexist with classical and

prototype El Tor in Mexico since the Latin American epidemic began (5). A serious limitation of that retrospective epidemiological study was that the analysis included only a limited number of strains collected spatio-temporally, thereby masking the full genetic diversity of the Mexican *V. cholerae* population. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of 182 *V. cholerae* O1 strains from Mexico that had been isolated between 1983 and 2008 previously had been reported to have several unique features (5, 7, 22) (see Table S1 in the supplemental material). In this study, six *V. cholerae* O1 isolates from Mexico were selected (Table 1) based on previously published data (5, 7, 22), for whole-genome sequencing to compare their genomes with genomes of 124 *V. cholerae* archival and recent isolates to elucidate the evolutionary dynamics of *V. cholerae* in Mexico.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variations in CTXΦ-RS1. Four of the six isolates of *V. cholerae* O1 (95412, CP1030, CP1032, and CP1033) were lysogenic CTXΦ positive, while the remaining two isolates (CP1035 and CP1037) lacked CTXΦ (Table 1). Lysogenic CTXΦ contains two gene clusters, a core region and an RS2 element (23, 24). The core region comprises *ctxAB*, encoding cholera toxin (CT), and five other genes, namely, *psh*, *cep*, *orfU*, *ace*, and *zot*, that are required for phage morphogenesis. The RS2 element encodes proteins associated with CTXΦ replication (RstA), integration (RstB), and regulation (RstR) (23, 24). Satellite phage RS1 carries an additional *rstC* gene (encoding anti-repressor protein), along with the entire RS2 element that is usually present in the flanking region of CTXΦ in *V. cholerae* El Tor (24). The chromosomal location of CTXΦ and its orientation and copies of CTXΦ may differ among toxigenic *V. cholerae* strains (25–27). The CTXΦ-RS1 array of CP1030 has been shown to be unique, lacking RS1 and carrying a truncated CTXΦ instead of RS1 in the upstream region of CTXΦ (*B3* allele) in the large chromosome (Chr I) (7). The *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor strains isolated in Mexico between 2004 and 2008, show the same CTXΦ array (TLC-truncated CTX-CTXΦ^{B3}) (7). Moreover, predicted CTXΦ mapping of El Tor isolates associated with the 1990s' Latin American epidemic in Peru, Mexico, Bolivia, Columbia, and Argentina showed two copies of CTXΦ (*B3* allele) together with TLC and RS1 in Chr I (TLC-CTXΦ^{B3}-CTXΦ^{B3}-RS1) (8). CTXΦ arrays, either TLC-truncated CTX-CTXΦ^{B3}, or TLC-CTXΦ^{B3}-CTXΦ^{B3}-RS1 detected in Latin American isolates was not found in El Tor, altered El Tor, or El Tor variants from Asia, Africa, and Haiti that have been studied to date (8, 25–27). However, an isolate from Sweden was found to contain the latter. Recently, genomic analysis of *V. cholerae* O1 showed close relatedness between isolates from Latin America and Angola, but the CTXΦ array was different (8).

TABLE 2 Sites of nucleotide polymorphisms in CTX prophages

Strain	Country of origin	Yr of isolation	Gene position	<i>rstB</i> type	<i>rstA</i> polymorphism at position:																			<i>rstB</i> polymorphism at position:										No. of copies of heptamer in <i>zot-ctxA</i> region ^a	<i>ctxB</i> allele type
					27	162	183	258	315	345	516	540	579	609	774	927	933	942	77-79	90	96	108	192	288	291	363									
N16961	Bangladesh	1975	CTX ^{ET}	ET	C	C	C	G	T	G	G	A	T	T	C	T	C	T	C	G	GTA	A	T	G	A	A	C	A	A	4	B3				
O395	India	1965	CTX ^{CL}	CL	T	T	A	C	*	T	A	G	C	C	T	*	*	*	*	*	- ^c	T	C	*	*	*	*	*	*	7	B1				
CIRS101	Bangladesh	2002	CTX ^{HRB}	ET	* ^b	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	3	B1				
HCO1	Haiti	2010	CTX ^{HRB}	ET	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	5	B1				
95412	Mexico	1997	CTX ^{CL}	CL	T	T	A	C	*	T	A	G	C	C	T	*	*	*	*	*	-	T	C	*	*	G	T	*	6	B1					
CP1030	Mexico	2008	CTX ^{ET}	ET	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	T	C	A	*	*	*	*	4	B3				
CP1032	Mexico	1991	CTX ^{HRB}	ET and CL	*	*	*	*	C	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	T	C	A	*	*	*	*	4	B1				
CP1033	Mexico	2000	CTX ^{HRB}	ET and CL	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	T	C	A	G	*	*	*	4	B1				

^a Shown are the numbers of copies of the TTTTGAT heptamer repeat sequence.
^b *, indicates sequence identical to that of *V. cholerae* N16961.
^c -, GTA deletion.

As shown in Table 2, the *rstA* and *rstB* gene sequence of *V. cholerae* 95412 classical is identical to that of the reference *V. cholerae* O395 classical isolate, whereas variation was observed in *V. cholerae* CTX⁺ El Tor isolates. *V. cholerae* CP1030, CP1032, and CP1033 contained three unique base substitutions in the *rstA* gene at 927 (T→C), 933 (C→T), and 942 (G→T), compared to *V. cholerae* N16961, CIRS101, and the recent Haitian isolate HCO1. In addition, CP1032 had a base substitution at 315 (T→C) in the *rstA* gene. Interestingly, all point mutations are synonymous for RstA. DNA sequence analysis of CP1030, CP1032, and CP1033 at the *rstB* gene showed a GTA deletion at positions 77 to 79 and polymorphism at positions 90 (A→T), 96 (T→C), 108 (G→A), and 192 (A→G), unlike *V. cholerae* El Tor strains, except or the GTA deletion, which had been reported in Haitian isolates (14).

Virulence gene expression in *V. cholerae* is regulated by ToxR, a transcriptional regulator that binds with the promoter region (between *zot* and *ctxA*) located upstream of *ctxAB*. The heptamer repeat sequences (TTTTGAT) directly influence the affinity of ToxR binding and promote binding of ToxR, which is followed by activation of the *ctxAB* promoter (28). As shown in Table 2, *V. cholerae* CP1030, CP1032, and CP1033 contain four copies of the heptamer repeat, like El Tor, altered El Tor, and hybrid variants from Asia and Africa. However, they differ from the Haitian isolates in having five repeats (14, 29). The *V. cholerae* 95412 classical isolate contains six copies of the heptamer repeat, unlike the classical *V. cholerae* reference strain O395, which possesses seven copies of the repeat (Table 2).

Vibrio pathogenicity islands 1 and 2. *Vibrio* pathogenicity island-1 (VPI-1) encodes the toxin-coregulated pilus (TCP) that promotes colonization of intestinal mucosal epithelium, is involved in biofilm formation, and serves as the receptor for the lysogenic CTXΦ (30). Five of the six *V. cholerae* O1 isolates from Mexico contained VPI-1, but CP1035 lacked this gene cluster. As shown in Fig. 1, *V. cholerae* CP1030, CP1032, and CP1033 possess VPI-1 of the seventh pandemic *V. cholerae* El Tor isolates, whereas the genetic organization of VPI-1 of CP1037 is homologous to that of *V. cholerae* 95412 (classical), despite having a genomic island, GI-47, in the upstream region. Interestingly, the *tcpA* gene, encoding the major pilin subunit (TcpA) of CP1037, is different from the classical and El Tor *tcpA* genes. The TCP region showed highest level of sequence polymorphism in VPI-1, with *tcpA* having the most divergence (31). Previous studies reported TcpA had significant differences in the epitope or antigenic structure when classical and El Tor biotype strains were compared (32). Four of the *V. cholerae* O1 isolates, CP1030, CP1032, CP1033, and 95412, contain the complete VPI-2, whereas the other isolates lack VPI-2. VPI-2 comprises several genes, including those encoding sialidase, the type I restriction modification system, and Mu-like prophage protein genes.

Vibrio seventh pandemic islands. The *Vibrio* seventh pandemic islands I and II (VSP-I and -II) in *V. cholerae* are characteristically found in El Tor strains, and they serve as a distinguishing marker from classical strains (33). However, a variant of the VSP-II gene cluster has also been detected in *V. cholerae* non-O1/O139 strains and in *Vibrio mimicus* (34, 35). *V. cholerae* El Tor strains CP1032 and CP1033 from Mexico contained all of the open reading frames (ORFs) in VSP-I and -II, whereas the CTX⁻ isolates CP1035 and CP1037 lack VSP-I and -II, as does the classical strain 95412. CP1030 possesses a variant VSP-II with an insertion between VC0510 and VC0516 (Fig. 2), commonly referred

VPI-1




FIG 1 *Vibrio* pathogenicity island 1 (VPI-1) of *V. cholerae* O1 strains isolated in Mexico and reference El Tor strain N16961. Mexican CTX⁻ *V. cholerae* O1 strain CP1037 contains GI-47 in the upstream region of VPI-1.

to as the West African and South American (WASA) insertion (8). An identical VSP-II gene cluster has been reported in *V. cholerae* isolated in Peru and Angola (36, 37). Conversely, the VSP-II gene cluster in contemporary *V. cholerae* isolates from Asia and Haiti has a 14.4-kb deletion that spans the ORF from VC0495 to VC0512 (CIRS101 type VSP-II) (14, 35, 38). The distribution of the variant VSP-II types among the *V. cholerae* isolates suggests this island contains hot spots highly prone to genetic rearrangement by recombination (35).

GIs and ICEs. *V. cholerae* O1 isolates from Mexico contain diverse genomic islands (GIs) that differ among the El Tor, classical, and CTX⁻ strains (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). *V. cholerae* El Tor isolates CP1030, CP1032, and CP1033 uniformly contained GI-1 to GI-10 and GI-85. *V. cholerae* CP1033 (14), on the other hand, contains GI-15 in the large chromosome, which encodes the putative integrase found in the Mozambique variant of *V. cholerae* (B-33) and also in hybrid isolates of CP1067 from Bangladesh, that had been isolated in 1991. Moreover, *V. cholerae* CP1030 contains the WASA1 genomic island, which has been reported previously in West African and South American strains (8). *V. cholerae* classical strain 95412 has GIs typical of the reference classical strain O395, along with GI-11 and GI-21 in the

small chromosome (see Table S2). GI-11 encodes the kappa phage, whereas the function of GI-21 (~34 kb) has not yet been identified. *V. cholerae* CP1035 contains genomic islands that are similar to those of *V. cholerae* non-O1/O139 and differ from classical and El Tor strains. CP1035 contains several previously described genomic islands, including GI-125 and GI-126, encoding a type I restriction modification system and integrase. Interestingly, CP1037 carries GI-36, which has been detected previously in *V. cholerae* non-O1/O139 TM11079-80 and Amazonia, isolated in Brazil. CP1037 also possesses GI-47 in the upstream region of VPI-1, as previously observed in Peruvian *V. cholerae* isolated in 1994 (Fig. 1) and a unique genomic island, GI-112, carrying *umuCD* and a nucleotidyltransferase gene (see Table S2) (11, 14).

The integrating and conjugative elements (ICEs) are self-transmissible mobile genetic elements in bacteria that confer resistance to various antibiotics. SXT is an ~100-kb ICE originally discovered in *V. cholerae* O139 (39). Since the emergence of *V. cholerae* O139 on the Indian subcontinent in 1992, the SXT/R391 ICE has been reported to be present in most clinical *V. cholerae* O1 or O139 strains isolated in Asia and Africa (8). *V. cholerae* isolates carrying the SXT/R391 ICE are resistant to streptomycin, chloramphenicol, sulfamethoxazole, and trimethoprim (39). Re-




FIG 2 *Vibrio* seventh pandemic island II (VSP-II) of *V. cholerae* O1 strains isolated in Mexico and Brazil. VSP-II of hybrid *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor (CP1032 and CP1033) was similar to that of reference El Tor N16961. However, it is different from those of prototype El Tor isolates (CP1030, ICE224, and INDRE 91/1) in Mexico and Brazil.

sults of recent phylogenetic analysis suggest *V. cholerae* O1 acquired SXT/R391 ICE sometime between 1978 and 1984, before its discovery in *V. cholerae* O139, and it is hypothesized that it provides a selective advantage to *V. cholerae* O1, allowing it to be globally disseminated (8). In the present study, except for CP1035, all of the Mexican isolates lacked the SXT/R391 ICE. The genome sequences of Latin American isolates (INDRE 91/1 [Mexico]; CP1044, CP1046, and CP1047 [Peru]; and IEC224, RC144, and 116059 [Brazil]) are devoid of SXT/R391 ICE. This observation was confirmed by PCR—i.e., except for CP1035, none of the Mexican isolates amplified DNA fragments for primers targeting the SXT integrase gene (*intSXT*) (40). Lack of the SXT/R391 ICE in epidemic strains isolated in Latin America in the 1990s has been reported (8). Absence of the SXT/R391 ICE among *V. cholerae* isolates has also been reported in a recent cholera outbreak in the Philippines (41). Antibiotic susceptibility analyses of the five Mexican isolates, CP1030, CP1032, CP1035, CP1037, and 95412, revealed all were sensitive to penicillin, ampicillin, streptomycin, chloramphenicol, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, tetracycline, kanamycin, erythromycin, nalidixic acid, and ciprofloxacin. *V. cholerae* CP1033 shows resistance only to streptomycin. Despite

possessing SXT/R391 ICE, CP1035 was sensitive to antibiotics, suggesting SXT/R391 ICE lacks genes conferring resistance to streptomycin, chloramphenicol, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. However, *V. cholerae* O1 strains showed resistance to different antibiotics in Asia and Africa at least a decade earlier than the 1990s' Latin American epidemic. *V. cholerae* El Tor strains isolated in 1977 in Africa were resistant to multiple drugs, including tetracycline (42), and classical strains from Bangladesh isolated during 1982 to 1989 were resistant to ampicillin, furazolidone, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (22).

LPS coding region. The lipopolysaccharide (LPS) of *V. cholerae* is comprised of three main regions: lipid A, the core oligosaccharide (OS), and the O antigen. *V. cholerae* synthesizes the core OS and O antigen using the *wav* and *wb** gene clusters, respectively (43). The *wav* gene cluster (VC0223 to -240) of the Mexican isolates is similar to that of *V. cholerae* N16961, except for CP1035, which is different in seven of the ORFs (Fig. 3). *V. cholerae* CP1035 has a *wav* gene cluster homologous to *V. cholerae* TM11079-80, an environmental strain isolated in Brazil in 1980 (Fig. 3). Interestingly, both strains are phenotypically El Tor, but they lack two major virulence-associated genomic islands, CTX Φ encoding




FIG 3 O antigen biosynthetic genes of *V. cholerae* O1 strains CP1035, TM11079, and N16961. The *wav* and *wb** gene clusters of CP1035 are homologous to those of TM11079 and different from those of reference El Tor N16961.

CtxAB and *Vibrio* pathogenicity island VPI-1, which contains the genes for biosynthesis of toxin-coregulated pilus (TCP).

Phylogenetics of the Mexican isolates. The phylogeny of the *V. cholerae* strains isolated in Mexico was determined by constructing a genome-relatedness neighbor-joining tree using homologous alignment of 905 orthologous protein-coding genes (~897,461 bp) of 124 *V. cholerae* genomes (Fig. 4), which placed El Tor, classical, and nontoxicogenic *V. cholerae* isolates from Mexico into distinct phylogenetic clades. CP1035, a CTX⁻ isolate, was placed into a basal clade with other nontoxicogenic non-O1/O139 isolates from Haiti and O1 isolates from Brazil and Amazonia. The

other CTX⁻ isolate, *V. cholerae* CP1037, was phylogenetically placed into an independent node ancestral to all sixth and seventh pandemic isolates. The presence of ancestral isolate in the Latin American region is indicative of greater phylogenetic diversity and succession of indigenous *V. cholerae* populations in that ecosystem. The classical biotype isolate of *V. cholerae* 95412, isolated from Mexico in 1997, was placed into a monophyletic clade with the other sixth pandemic reference *V. cholerae* strain, O395, and RC27. Classical biotype strains are considered to have been out-competed by seventh pandemic *V. cholerae* El Tor strains in the 1980s and have not been isolated in Asia and Africa after 1990




FIG 4 Neighbor-joining trees showing phylogenetic relationships of 124 *V. cholerae* genomes based on 905 orthologs of protein-coding genes (~897,461 bp). The two *V. cholerae* non-O1/O139 strains (HE09 and HE16) isolated from surface water during the 2010 cholera epidemic in Haiti were used as an outgroup of the tree, and bootstrap values are percentages of 1,000 replications. Mexican *V. cholerae* O1 strains are shown in blue, indicating the distribution among five distinct phylogenetic clades. The top node represents the genomes of isolates from Haiti, Bangladesh, Nepal, the United States, Cameroon, South Africa, the Russian Federation, Zimbabwe, and the Dominican Republic obtained between 2005 and 2011.

(22). In contrast, *V. cholerae* classical strains had been isolated in Mexico until 1997, even though *V. cholerae* El Tor strains were dominant at the beginning of the Latin American epidemic and during the years following, indicating the Mexican ecosystem to be a reservoir for the classical biotype of *V. cholerae* (5).

V. cholerae strains CP1032 and CP1033 isolated in Mexico were placed into the paraphyletic hybrid El Tor clade along with Mozambique and Matlab variants of *V. cholerae* El Tor, namely, B-33 and MJ-1236, together with *V. cholerae* O139 isolate MO10 (Fig. 4). These isolates also showed close relatedness to 1991 hybrid *V. cholerae* El Tor strain AG8040 isolated from patients in Bangladesh. Phylogenetic analysis of *V. cholerae* hybrid strains isolated in Mexico clearly shows a separation from contemporary *V. cholerae* El Tor and altered El Tor strains from Asia, Africa, and Haiti. The Matlab variant strains, isolated in 1994 in Bangladesh, were the first to have been reported in the literature as “hybrid,” showing classical biotype specific traits in an El Tor genetic background (9). A decade later, genetically similar hybrid variants were isolated in Mozambique during the 2004 cholera outbreak (11, 44). Isolation of *V. cholerae* CP1032 in 1991 in Mexico suggests hybrid El Tor *V. cholerae* was present at the same time in two different continents—i.e., Asia and America. *V. cholerae* CP1030 also belongs to the seventh pandemic clade. However, it clustered tightly into the monophyletic El Tor clade with *V. cholerae* strains isolated in Mexico, Peru, and Brazil during the Latin American epidemics of the 1990s but distant from recent isolates from Bangladesh, India, Nepal, and Thailand. Furthermore, Zambia, Zimbabwe, and Haiti isolates are also separated from CP1030, suggesting a conserved *V. cholerae* O1 clone that carries a truncated CTX Φ instead of RS1 in the upstream region of CTX Φ , circulating in the Mexican ecosystem during 2004 to 2008. Since 2000, variants of *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor have prevailed in areas of Asia and Africa where cholera is endemic, with *V. cholerae* prototype El Tor strains rarely isolated (45).

Conclusion. This study provides important insights into the molecular epidemiology of cholera in Mexico. Overall, the results of our study and previous studies show the existence of genetically diverse *V. cholerae* O1 in Mexico during 1991 to 2008 (5, 7). Considering the global epidemiology of cholera, although the succession of *V. cholerae* O1 in Mexico remains a mystery, our observations clearly do not support the hypothesis of global transmission of cholera from Africa to Latin America, as proposed elsewhere (8). During the 1990s’ Latin American epidemic, Peru was the first country to have been affected by cholera, and a clonal CTX⁺ *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor strain was found to be the etiological agent, which was present on the Peruvian coast for at least several months prior to the onset of the cholera epidemic (21). Furthermore, CTX⁻ *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor had been isolated from two patients with diarrhea in Lima, Peru, in 1988 (21, 46) and from sewage in Brazil in 1982 (21, 47). The environmental stimulus for *V. cholerae* (i.e., the increase in the temperature and phytoplankton abundance due to the El Niño phenomenon or changes in salinity and/or nutrient concentrations) may have triggered the existing CTX⁺ *V. cholerae* O1 El Tor strains to upsurge rapidly during the 1990s in Peru (21). Molecular typing and phylogenetic analysis of 1990s’ Latin American *V. cholerae* O1 isolates have been done in several studies, and no significant correlation was found between isolates from Asia and Latin America (8, 19). Phylogenetic analysis of the isolates shows that cholera in Mexico during 1991 to 2008 was caused by genetically diverse *V. cholerae* O1

strains belonging to distinct phylogenetic clades. Although, Mexican hybrid isolates show close relatedness to one hybrid isolate from Bangladesh, all of which were isolated in 1991, we do not have sufficient metadata to find out the direction of transmission either from Asia to Latin America or vice versa. Additionally, the antibiotic susceptibility patterns and CTX arrangements of the Mexican isolates strongly contradict the notion of a single-source transmission of *V. cholerae* O1 into Mexico from African countries. The lack of the SXT/R391 ICE in the Latin American CTX⁺ *V. cholerae* isolates is yet another interesting observation, which requires further study, since concurrent Asian and African isolates generally possess SXT/R391 ICE. Therefore, genetic events occurring in *V. cholerae* O1 strains associated with endemic cholera in Mexico are different from those of Asian and African countries (5, 7). Results provided in this study are concordant with those of previous investigations (5, 7, 22) and suggest a likely association of indigenous populations of *V. cholerae* that play a significant role in the dynamics of cholera in Mexico.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains. *Vibrio cholerae* O1 strains analyzed in the present study ($n = 6$) are listed in Table S1 in the supplemental material with the source, location, and year of isolation. *Vibrio cholerae* O1 strains were provided by the Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM) and Centro de Investigación Científica y de Educación Superior de Ensenada. The strains were isolated from cholera patients as part of a nationwide cholera surveillance program conducted between 1983 and 2008 in Mexico (5, 7). The bacterial strains were shipped in T1N1 soft agar (1% trypticase, 1% NaCl, 0.7% agar [pH 7.4]), and the identities were confirmed by standard culture methods and biochemical tests, followed by serogroup and biotype determination, as described previously (48, 49).

Sequencing, assembly, and annotation. Genomic DNA of six *V. cholerae* strains was subjected to next-generation whole-genome Illumina and hybrid Illumina/454 sequencing and closure strategies, as previously described (11, 14). Libraries were constructed with target insert sizes of 3 kb and paired-end sizes of 100 bp. Hybrid and Illumina sequences were assembled using Celera and Velvet assemblers, respectively (50) and all chromosomes were manually annotated using the Manatee system (<http://manatee.sourceforge.net/>).

Comparative genomics. Genome-to-genome comparison was performed by using different approaches because the completeness and quality of the nucleotide sequences varied from strain to strain. First, ORFs of a given pair of genomes were identified and reciprocally compared with each other using the BLASTN, BLASTP, and tBLASTx programs (ORF-dependent comparison). Second, a bioinformatic pipeline was constructed to identify homologous regions of a given query ORF. Initially, a segment on the target contig, which is homologous to a query ORF, was identified using the BLASTN program. This potentially homologous region was expanded in both directions by 2,000 bp. Nucleotide sequences of the query ORF and selected target homologous regions were aligned using a pairwise global alignment algorithm, and the resultant matched region in the subject contig was extracted and saved as a homologue (ORF-independent comparison). Orthologues and paralogs were differentiated by reciprocal comparison, as described previously (11).

Identification and annotation of genomic islands. We defined genomic islands (GIs) as a continuous array of five or more coding sequences (CDSs) that were discontinuously distributed among genomes of test strains. Correct transfer or insertion of GIs was readily differentiated from a deletion event by comparing the genome-based phylogenetic tree and full matrices showing pairwise detection of orthologous genes between test strains. Identified GIs were designated and annotated using the BLASTP search of its member CDSs against the GenBank NR database, as described elsewhere (11).

Phylogenetics based on genome sequences. Orthologous regions of *V. cholerae* N16961 were identified by comparisons based on similarity and were used to generate phylogenetic trees (14). The set of orthologous regions for each CDS of a reference genome was identified according to nucleotide similarity and aligned using CLUSTALW2. The resultant multiple alignments were concatenated to form genome-scale alignments, which were then used to generate the neighbor-joining phylogenetic trees (51).

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. Whole-genome sequences of CP1030, CP1032, CP1033, CP1035, CP1037, and 95412 have been deposited in the DDBJ/EMBL/GenBank databases under accession no. ALCZ00000000, ALDA00000000, AJRL00000000, AJRM00000000, ALDB00000000, and APFM00000000, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental material for this article may be found at <http://mbio.asm.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1128/mBio.02160-15/-/DCSupplemental>.

Table S1, DOCX file, 0.03 MB.

Table S2, DOCX file, 0.03 MB.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was funded, in part, with federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Department of Health and Human Services, under contract no. HHSN2722009000. Partial support was provided by NOAA grant no. SO660009 and NSF-NIH Ecology of Infectious Diseases program grant no. EF-0813066.

We acknowledge the valuable assistance of Jonathan Crabtree, Amy Egan, Naomi Sengamalay, and Lisa Sadzewicz in sample processing, sequencing and logistics. icddr,b is thankful to the Governments of Bangladesh, Canada, Sweden, and the United Kingdom for providing core/unrestricted support.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work, including the efforts of Munirul Alam, was funded by HHS | NIH | National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) (HHSN2722009000). This work, including the efforts of Anwar Huq, was funded by National Science Foundation (NSF) (EF-0813066). This work, including the efforts of Rita R. Colwell, was funded by DOC | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) (SO660009).

This project was funded, in part, with federal funds from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, Department of Health and Human Services under contract number HHSN2722009000. Partial support was provided by NOAA grant no. SO660009, NSF-NIH Ecology of Infectious Diseases program Grant No. EF-0813066.

REFERENCES

- Sack DA, Sack RB, Nair GB, Siddique AK. 2004. Cholera. *Lancet* 363: 223–233. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(03\)15328-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15328-7).
- Kaper JB, Morris JG, Jr, Levine MM. 1995. Cholera. *Clin Microbiol Rev* 8:48–86.
- Sepúlveda J, Valdespino JL, García-García L. 2006. Cholera in Mexico: the paradoxical benefits of the last pandemic. *Int J Infect Dis* 10:4–13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2005.05.005>.
- Olsvik O. 1992. The cholera epidemic in Latin America. *Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen* 112:1843–1846.
- Alam M, Nusrin S, Islam A, Bhuiyan NA, Rahim N, Delgado G, Morales R, Mendez JL, Navarro A, Gil AI, Watanabe H, Morita M, Nair GB, Cravioto A. 2010. Cholera between 1991 and 1997 in Mexico was associated with infection by classical, El Tor, and El Tor variants of *Vibrio cholerae*. *J Clin Microbiol* 48:3666–3674. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00866-10>.
- Wachsmuth IK, Evins GM, Fields PI, Olsvik O, Popovic T, Bopp CA, Wells JG, Carrillo C, Blake PA. 1993. The molecular epidemiology of cholera in Latin America. *J Infect Dis* 167:621–626. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/167.3.621>.
- Alam M, Rashed SM, Mannan SB, Islam T, Lizarraga-Partida ML, Delgado G, Morales-Espinosa R, Mendez JL, Navarro A, Watanabe H, Ohnishi M, Hasan NA, Huq A, Sack RB, Colwell RR, Cravioto A. 2014. Occurrence in Mexico, 1998–2008, of *Vibrio cholerae* CTX+ El Tor carrying an additional truncated CTX prophage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111:9917–9922. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1323408111>.
- Mutreja A, Kim DW, Thomson SR, Connor TR, Lee JH, Kariuki S, Croucher NJ, Choi SY, Harris NR, Levens M, Niyogi SK, Kim EJ, Ramamurthy T, Chun J, Wood JL, Clemens JD, Czerkinsky C, Nair GB, Holmgren J, Parkhill J, Dougan G. 2011. Evidence for several waves of global transmission in the seventh cholera pandemic. *Nature* 477: 462–465. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature10392>.
- Nair GB, Faruque SM, Bhuiyan NA, Kamruzzaman M, Siddique AK, Sack DA. 2002. New variants of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 biotype El Tor with attributes of the classical biotype from hospitalized patients with acute diarrhea in Bangladesh. *J Clin Microbiol* 40:3296–3299. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.9.3296-3299.2002>.
- Safa A, Sultana J, Cam PD, Mwansa JC, Kong RYC. 2008. *Vibrio cholerae* O1 hybrid El Tor strains, Asia and Africa. *Emerg Infect Dis* 14:987–988. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1406.080129>.
- Chun J, Grim CJ, Hasan NA, Lee JH, Choi SY, Haley BJ, Taviani E, Jeon YS, Kim DW, Brettin TS, Bruce DC, Challacombe JF, Detter JC, Han CS, Munk AC, Chertkov O, Meincke L, Saunders E, Walters RA, Huq A, Nair GB, Colwell RR. 2009. Comparative genomics reveals mechanism for short-term and long-term clonal transitions in pandemic *Vibrio cholerae*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106:15442–15447. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0907787106>.
- Albert MJ, Nair GB. 2005. *Vibrio cholerae* O139 Bengal—10 years on. *Rev Med Microbiol* 16:135–143. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/01.revmedmi.0000184743.75679.0a>.
- Albert M, Siddique AK, Islam MS, Faruque ASG, Ansaruzzaman M, Faruque SM, Sack R. 1993. Large outbreak of clinical cholera due to *Vibrio cholerae* non-O1 in Bangladesh. *Lancet* 341:704. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736\(93\)90481-U](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(93)90481-U).
- Hasan NA, Choi SY, Eppinger M, Clark PW, Chen A, Alam M, Haley BJ, Taviani E, Hine E, Su Q, Tallon LJ, Prosper JB, Furth K, Hoq MM, Li H, Fraser-Liggett CM, Cravioto A, Huq A, Ravel J, Cebula TA, Colwell RR. 2012. Genomic diversity of 2010 Haitian cholera outbreak strains. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109:E2010–E2017. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1207359109>.
- Islam MS, Mahmud ZH, Ansaruzzaman M, Faruque SM, Talukder KA, Qadri F, Alam M, Islam S, Bardhan PK, Mazumder RN, Khan AI, Ahmed S, Iqbal A, Chitsatso O, Mudzori J, Patel S, Midzi SM, Charimari L, Endtz HP, Cravioto A. 2011. Phenotypic, genotypic, and antibiotic sensitivity patterns of strains isolated from the cholera epidemic in Zimbabwe. *J Clin Microbiol* 49:2325–2327. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00432-11>.
- Eppinger M, Pearson T, Koenig SS, Pearson O, Hicks N, Agrawal S, Sanjar F, Galens K, Daugherty S, Crabtree J, Hendriksen RS, Price LB, Upadhyay BP, Shakya G, Fraser CM, Ravel J, Keim PS. 2014. Genomic epidemiology of the Haitian cholera outbreak: a single introduction followed by rapid, extensive, and continued spread characterized the onset of the epidemic. *mBio* 5:e01721. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01721-14>.
- Guthmann JP. 1995. Epidemic cholera in Latin America: spread and routes of transmission. *J Trop Med Hyg* 98:419–427.
- McCarthy SA, Khambaty FM. 1994. International dissemination of epidemic *Vibrio cholerae* by cargo ship ballast and other nonpotable waters. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 60:2597–2601.
- Lam C, Octavia S, Reeves P, Wang L, Lan R. 2010. Evolution of seventh cholera pandemic and origin of 1991 epidemic, Latin America. *Emerg Infect Dis* 16:1130–1132. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1607.100131>.
- Mourino-Perez RR. 1998. Oceanography and the seventh cholera pandemic. *Epidemiology* 9:355–357. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/00001648-199805000-00024>.
- Seas C, Miranda J, Gil AI, Leon-Barua R, Patz J, Huq A, Colwell RR, Sack RB. 2000. New insights on the emergence of cholera in Latin America during 1991: the Peruvian experience. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 62:513–517.
- Alam M, Islam MT, Rashed SM, Johura FT, Bhuiyan NA, Delgado G, Morales R, Mendez JL, Navarro A, Watanabe H, Hasan NA, Colwell RR, Cravioto A. 2012. *Vibrio cholerae* classical biotype strains reveal distinct signatures in Mexico. *J Clin Microbiol* 50:2212–2216. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00189-12>.
- Waldor MK, Mekalanos JJ. 1996. Lysogenic conversion by a filamentous phage encoding cholera toxin. *Science* 272:1910–1914. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.272.5270.1910>.

24. Safa A, Nair GB, Kong RY. 2010. Evolution of new variants of *Vibrio cholerae* O1. Trends Microbiol 18:46–54. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2009.10.003>.
25. Choi SY, Lee JH, Jeon YS, Lee HR, Kim EJ, Ansaruzzaman M, Bhuiyan NA, Endtz HP, Niyogi SK, Sarkar BL, Nair GB, Nguyen BM, Hien NT, Czerkinsky C, Clemens JD, Chun J, Kim DW. 2010. Multilocus variable-number tandem repeat analysis of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor strains harbouring classical toxin B. J Med Microbiol 59:763–769. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.017939-0>.
26. Lee JH, Choi SY, Jeon YS, Lee HR, Kim EJ, Nguyen BM, Hien NT, Ansaruzzaman M, Islam MS, Bhuiyan NA, Niyogi SK, Sarkar BL, Nair GB, Kim DS, Lopez AL, Czerkinsky C, Clemens JD, Chun J, Kim DW. 2009. Classification of hybrid and altered *Vibrio cholerae* strains by CTX prophage and RS1 element structure. J Microbiol 47:783–788. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12275-009-0292-6>.
27. Nguyen BM, Lee JH, Cuong NT, Choi SY, Hien NT, Anh DD, Lee HR, Ansaruzzaman M, Endtz HP, Chun J, Lopez AL, Czerkinsky C, Clemens JD, Kim DW. 2009. Cholera outbreaks caused by an altered *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor biotype strain producing classical cholera toxin B in Vietnam in 2007 to 2008. J Clin Microbiol 47:1568–1571. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02040-08>.
28. Pfau JD, Taylor RK. 1996. Genetic footprint on the ToxR-binding site in the promoter for cholera toxin. Mol Microbiol 20:213–222. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1996.tb02502.x>.
29. Ghosh P, Naha A, Pazhani GP, Ramamurthy T, Mukhopadhyay AK. 2014. Genetic traits of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 Haitian isolates that are absent in contemporary strains from Kolkata, India. PLoS One 9:e112973. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0112973>.
30. Boyd EF, Moyer KE, Shi L, Waldor MK. 2000. Infectious CTXPhi and the *Vibrio* pathogenicity island prophage in *Vibrio mimicus*: evidence for recent horizontal transfer between *V. mimicus* and *V. cholerae*. Infect Immun 68:1507–1513. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.68.3.1507-1513.2000>.
31. Tay CY, Reeves PR, Lan R. 2008. Importation of the major pilin TcpA gene and frequent recombination drive the divergence of the *Vibrio* pathogenicity island in *Vibrio cholerae*. FEMS Microbiol Lett 289:210–218. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01385.x>.
32. Jonson G, Holmgren J, Svennerholm AM. 1992. Analysis of expression of toxin-coregulated pili in classical and El Tor *Vibrio cholerae* O1 in vitro and in vivo. Infect Immun 60:4278–4284.
33. Dziejman M, Balon E, Boyd D, Fraser CM, Heidelberg JF, Mekalanos JJ. 2002. Comparative genomic analysis of *Vibrio cholerae*: genes that correlate with cholera endemic and pandemic disease. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99:1556–1561. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.042667999>.
34. Dziejman M, Serruto D, Tam VC, Sturtevant D, Diraphat P, Faruque SM, Rahman MH, Heidelberg JF, Decker J, Li L, Montgomery KT, Grills G, Kuchelapati R, Mekalanos JJ. 2005. Genomic characterization of non-O1, non-O139 *Vibrio cholerae* reveals genes for a type III secretion system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102:3465–3470. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0409918102>.
35. Taviani E, Grim CJ, Choi J, Chun J, Haley B, Hasan NA, Huq A, Colwell RR. 2010. Discovery of novel *Vibrio cholerae* VSP-II genomic islands using comparative genomic analysis. FEMS Microbiol Lett 308: 130–137. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2010.02008.x>.
36. Nusrin S, Gil AI, Bhuiyan NA, Safa A, Asakura M, Lanata CF, Hall E, Miranda H, Huapaya B, Vargas GC, Luna MA, Sack DA, Yamasaki S, Nair GB. 2009. Peruvian *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor strains possess a distinct region in the *Vibrio* seventh pandemic island-II that differentiates them from the prototype seventh pandemic El Tor strains. J Med Microbiol 58:342–354. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.005397-0>.
37. Valia R, Taviani E, Spagnoletti M, Ceccarelli D, Cappuccinelli P, Colombo MM. 2013. *Vibrio cholerae* O1 epidemic variants in Angola: a retrospective study between 1992 and 2006. Front Microbiol 4:354. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00354>.
38. Chin CS, Sorenson J, Harris JB, Robins WP, Charles RC, Jean-Charles RR, Bullard J, Webster DR, Kasarskis A, Peluso P, Paxinos EE, Yamachi Y, Calderwood SB, Mekalanos JJ, Schadt EE, Waldor MK. 2011. The origin of the Haitian cholera outbreak strain. N Engl J Med 364:33–42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1012928>.
39. Waldor MK, Tschäpe H, Mekalanos JJ. 1996. A new type of conjugative transposon encodes resistance to sulfamethoxazole, trimethoprim, and streptomycin in *Vibrio cholerae* O139. J Bacteriol 178:4157–4165.
40. Hochhut B, Lotfi Y, Mazel D, Faruque SM, Woodgate R, Waldor MK. 2001. Molecular analysis of antibiotic resistance gene clusters in *Vibrio cholerae* O139 and O1 SXT constans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 45: 2991–3000. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.45.11.2991-3000.2001>.
41. Klinzing DC, Choi SY, Hasan NA, Matias RR, Tayag E, Geronimo J, Skowronski E, Rashed SM, Kawashima K, Rosenzweig CN, Gibbons HS, Torres BC, Liles V, Alfon AC, Juan ML, Natividad FF, Cebula TA, Colwell RR. 2015. Hybrid *Vibrio cholerae* El Tor lacking SXT identified as the cause of a cholera outbreak in the Philippines. mBio 6:e00047-15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00047-15>.
42. Mhalu FS, Mmari PW, Ijumba J. 1979. Rapid emergence of El Tor *Vibrio cholerae* resistant to antimicrobial agents during first six months of fourth cholera epidemic in Tanzania. Lancet i:345–347.
43. Nesper J, Kraiss A, Schild S, Blass J, Klose KE, Bockemühl J, Reidl J. 2002. Comparative and genetic analyses of the putative *Vibrio cholerae* lipopolysaccharide core oligosaccharide biosynthesis (*wav*) gene cluster. Infect Immun 70:2419–2433. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/IAI.70.5.2419-2433.2002>.
44. Ansaruzzaman M, Bhuiyan NA, Nair GB, Sack DA, Lucas M, Deen JL, Ampuero J, Chaignat C. 2004. Cholera in Mozambique, variant of *Vibrio cholerae*. Emerg Infect Dis 10:2057–2059. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1011.040682>.
45. Rashed SM, Iqbal A, Mannan SB, Islam T, Rashid M, Johura F, Watanabe H, Hasan NA, Huq A, Stine OC, Sack RB, Colwell RR, Alam M. 2013. *Vibrio cholerae* O1 El Tor and O139 Bengal strains carrying *ctxB*(ET), Bangladesh. Emerg Infect Dis 19:1713–1715. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1910.130626>.
46. Batchelor RA, Wignall FS. 1988. Nontoxicogenic O1 *Vibrio cholerae* in Peru: a report of two cases associated with diarrhea. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 10:135–138. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0732-8893\(88\)90031-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0732-8893(88)90031-4).
47. Levine MM, Black RE, Clements ML, Cisneros L, Saah A, Nalin DR, Gill DM, Craig JP, Young CR, Ristaino P. 1982. The pathogenicity of non-enterotoxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* serogroup O1 biotype El Tor isolated from sewage water in Brazil. J Infect Dis 145:296–299. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/145.3.296>.
48. Alam M, Hasan NA, Sadique A, Bhuiyan NA, Ahmed KU, Nusrin S, Nair GB, Siddique AK, Sack RB, Sack DA, Huq A, Colwell RR. 2006. Seasonal cholera caused by *Vibrio cholerae* serogroups O1 and O139 in the coastal aquatic environment of Bangladesh. Appl Environ Microbiol 72: 4096–4104. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00066-06>.
49. Alam M, Sultana M, Nair GB, Sack RB, Sack DA, Siddique AK, Ali A, Huq A, Colwell RR. 2006. Toxigenic *Vibrio cholerae* in the aquatic environment of Mathbaria, Bangladesh. Appl Environ Microbiol 72: 2849–2855. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.4.2849-2855.2006>.
50. Zerbino DR. 2010. Using the Velvet de novo assembler for short-read sequencing technologies. Curr Protoc Bioinformatics Chapter 11:Unit 11.15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/0471250953.bi110531>.
51. Saitou N, Nei M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Mol Biol Evol 4:406–425.